

# LEC # 9. RULES of Inference.

→ Proofs.

→ An Argument.

$$\begin{array}{l} P_1 \\ P_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hline \therefore C \end{array}$$
 Rules of Inference.

Ex 8  
 63) 1) to define propositions. } skipping  
 2) to obtain expressions. }  
 in Exam you will do it.

$$\begin{array}{l} T \rightarrow (M \vee E) \text{---} \textcircled{1} \\ S \rightarrow \neg E \text{---} \textcircled{2} \\ \hline T \wedge S \text{---} \textcircled{3} \\ \therefore M. \end{array}$$

from  $\textcircled{3}$  T ---  $\textcircled{4}$  by (S)  
 from  $\textcircled{3}$  S ---  $\textcircled{5}$  by (S)

from  $\textcircled{4,1}$  M  $\vee$  E by (M) ---  $\textcircled{6}$   
 from  $\textcircled{5,2}$   $\neg$  E by (MP) ---  $\textcircled{7}$   
 from  $\textcircled{6,7}$  M.

Since M is the Conclusion therefore the argument is correct.

Ex 9  
 63) 
$$\begin{array}{l} L \rightarrow A \text{---} \textcircled{1} \\ E \rightarrow \neg I \text{---} \textcircled{2} \\ A \rightarrow E \text{---} \textcircled{3} \\ \hline \therefore L \rightarrow \neg I. \end{array}$$

from  $\textcircled{1}$  &  $\textcircled{3}$   $L \rightarrow E$  ---  $\textcircled{4}$  by (HS)  
 from  $\textcircled{2,4}$   $L \rightarrow \neg I$  ---  $\textcircled{5}$  by (HS)

Observation:- (Order)  
 the statements sometimes needs to be processed in some arbitrary order.

Observation:- (Sequence)  
 Sometime the sequence of compining the premises may not be present.

from (2,4)  $L \rightarrow \neg E - (5)$  by (HS)  
 which is equal to  
 Conclusion Therefore the  
 argument is correct

Ex 9  
 63  $P \rightarrow Q - (1) X$   
 $\neg P \rightarrow \neg - (2) X$   
 $\neg \rightarrow S. - (3) X$   
 $\therefore \neg Q \rightarrow \neg S.$

We can use  
 logical equivalences  
 to proof arguments

Observation: (Logical Equ)  
 Sometimes we may  
 need to use logical  
 equivalences to proof.

from (1)  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg P - (4) X$

from (4,2)  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg - (5)$  by (HS).

from (3,5)  $\neg Q \rightarrow \neg S - (6)$  by (HS).

This is equal to Conclusion  
 Therefore the argument is  
 correct.

- 1) Order.
- 2) Sequence.
- 3) Logical Equivalences.

Principle of Resolution.

↳ Repeatedly apply  
 the

- 1)  $\frac{P \quad P \rightarrow Q}{\therefore Q}$  (MP)     6)  $\frac{P \wedge Q}{\therefore P}$  (S)
- 2)  $\frac{\neg Q \quad P \rightarrow Q}{\therefore \neg P}$  (MT)     7)  $\frac{P \quad \neg P}{\therefore P \wedge \neg P}$  (C)
- 3)  $\frac{P \rightarrow Q \quad Q}{\therefore P}$  (HS)     8)  $\frac{P \vee Q \quad \neg P}{\therefore Q}$  (R)
- 4)  $\frac{P \vee Q \quad \neg P}{\therefore Q}$  (DS)
- 5)  $\frac{P \quad P \vee Q}{\therefore P \vee Q}$  (AD)

Prac L 15 Questions

pages 64-65 . Book .

(61-63) pages . just Additional  
Examples .

Session 1: one Question from  
Rules of Inferences

First 15 Questions.  
from Ex.  
Rules on Inferences.

→ Crane, Knight .

→ Quantifiers

→ Nested  
Quantifiers .

→ Rules of Inference

→ System Specification .



